



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/336,424	06/17/1999	DAVID T. SULCER	04020.P001	7619		
29855 75	1855 7590 12/15/2003			EXAMINER		
WONG, CABELLO, LUTSCH, RUTHERFORD & BRUCCULERI,			NGUYEN, HAI V			
P.C. 20333 SH 249			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 600			2142	17		
HOUSTON, T	X 77070		DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003	\mathcal{C}		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	20	0
ı	14	4

			PPG				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/336,424	SULCER ET AL.					
·	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hai V. Nguyen	2142					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 20 November 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaning patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE steen which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•						
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) \(\square\) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ms.				
NOTE:							
$3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set	or reconsideration has been cons see Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NO	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.							
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.	• •						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-52</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: ____

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicants' arguments (paper # 15) are NOT persuasive. In the Final rejection Office Action (paper # 14), the Examiner has replied to the Applicants' arguments clearly in section "Response to Arguments" on pages 6-11.

JACK B. HARVEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER